Situation Report: Potential Trump-Era Trade War

January 14, 2025

SITUATION REPORT: POTENTIAL TRUMP-ERA TRADE WAR

Executive Summary

With former U.S. President Donald Trump rumored to reintroduce **sweeping tariffs** in early 2025—and using tariffs as leverage in non-trade disputes—governments and companies face an increasingly complex environment. Tariff threats stretch up to **60%** on Chinese goods, **25–100%** on imports from Canada and Mexico, **20%** on virtually all other imports, and a **100% tariff** on BRICS nations if they adopt an alternative currency. Simultaneously, the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) has blacklisted leading Chinese firms (e.g., CATL, COSCO, Tencent) for defense-sector procurement. Compounding these developments, there is a risk of retaliatory measures—especially from China and Mexico—targeting exports on which the U.S. heavily depends. These moves threaten to disrupt supply chains across automotive, technology, agriculture, renewable energy, and defense.

Key Developments and Overarching Impacts

Newly Threatened Tariffs: Scope and Range

1. **Goods from China**
   - **60% Tariff** on Chinese imports plus an additional **10%** on certain categories (potentially reaching 70%) up to 100% tariff. Far beyond earlier rates (10–25%), such tariffs would severely raise costs for U.S. manufacturers and retailers.
2. **All Other U.S. Imports**
   - **20% Tariff** on countries not named in the China, Canada, or Mexico categories, affecting major trade partners like the EU, Japan, and others. This broad measure could exacerbate global inflationary pressures.
3. **Imports from Canada**
   - **25% Tariff** on Canadian goods, in tandem with rhetoric about making Canada “the 51st U.S. state” or forging a new union—signaling that tariffs may be used as political pressure on close allies.
4. **Imports from Mexico**
   - **25% Tariff** on all Mexican imports, plus a **100%** Tariff threatened on Mexican-made goods—jeopardizing the USMCA framework.
   - A **200% Tariff** on John Deere exports if production relocates to Mexico, illustrating the willingness to impose selective, punitive levies on individual companies.
5. **BRICS Nations**
   - **100% Tariff** if these countries (Brazil, Russia, India, China, South Africa) adopt a shared currency to rival the U.S. dollar. Would double the cost of BRICS goods entering the U.S., from commodities to electronics.

Cost Pressures and Inflationary Impact

- **Massive Cost Pass-Through:** Tariff rates above 60% (and up to 100–200%) would almost certainly be passed to end consumers, fueling inflation, reducing consumer demand, and straining supply chains.
- **Domestic Capacity Constraints:** Even with efforts to reshore, the U.S. lacks sufficient short-term capacity for certain industrial inputs (e.g., specialized electronics, critical minerals), making immediate substitution challenging.

Manufacturing and Sourcing Realignments

- **China-plus-Several:** Firms shifting manufacturing from China to Southeast Asia or Latin America may still face tariffs if nearshoring sites (Mexico) or certain allies (Canada) come under new duties.
- **Potential USMCA Breakdown:** High tariffs on Canada or Mexico call into question the future of the integrated North American supply chain, particularly in automotive and aerospace.
- **Threats to Denmark:** Trump's suggestion of tariffs on Denmark to gain Greenland access typifies how tariffs may serve non-trade aims, showcasing a negotiation style that can affect smaller U.S. allies.

Broader Geopolitical Escalations

- **Coercive Trade Tactics:** Beyond economics, high tariffs function as strategic levers—e.g., to pressure Denmark over Greenland or prompt Canada to consider deeper union with the U.S.
- **National Security Concerns:** Some tariffs or blacklists target defense-critical tech, but others appear politically motivated, risking further fragmentation of global trade norms.
- **Diplomatic Fallout:** Allies (EU, Canada, Mexico, Denmark) likely perceive such measures as coercive. Retaliatory tariffs, new alliances, or shifts away from U.S.-centric supply chains could follow.

Industry-Level Implications

Automotive Sector

- **Severe Input Cost Hikes:** Combining 60–70% tariffs on Chinese components with 25–100% tariffs on Canadian/Mexican parts undermines cost-effective vehicle production.
- **John Deere Example:** Threatening a 200% tariff if it moves production to Mexico underscores how punitive and company-specific these measures can be.

Technology Sector

- **Semiconductor Crunch:** Tariffs at 60–70% on Chinese electronics, plus possible blacklists, exacerbate chip shortages for smartphones, data centers, and automotive electronics.
- **Cloud & AI Constraints:** Restrictions on Chinese or BRICS-based AI/cloud firms hamper collaboration and innovation, potentially diverting investment to less efficient or more expensive alternatives.

Renewable Energy Sector

- **Solar & Wind Equipment Costs:** Projects relying on Chinese turbines or panels become cost-prohibitive under 60–70% tariffs, hindering climate and energy goals.
- **Battery Supply Chain:** China's dominance in lithium-ion cells collides with blacklists and tariffs, delaying U.S. EV adoption and energy storage installations.

Agriculture Sector

- **Cross-Border Commodities:** Tariffs from 25% to 100% on Canadian or Mexican produce and livestock could spark price spikes for U.S. consumers; plus, fertilizers and feed from China would see massive mark-ups.
- **Retaliation Risk:** China, Mexico, or Canada might respond by slapping duties on key U.S. agricultural exports (e.g., soybeans, pork), further rattling markets.

Defense Procurement Blacklists

- **Chinese Companies Blacklisted:** CATL, COSCO, China State Shipbuilding Corp., SenseTime, and Tencent are excluded from defense-sector contracts, raising compliance demands for U.S. and allied defense primes.
- **Spillover Effects:** Dual-use technologies in commercial sectors (e.g., EV batteries, cloud services, AI) could also become entangled in regulatory or contractual disputes.

Country and Regional Considerations

China

Primary Target: Proposed 60% (+10%) tariffs and blacklists aim to curb China's manufacturing and tech leadership.

Countermeasures: China could restrict exports of Rare Earth Elements or impose retaliatory tariffs on key U.S. goods (e.g., agriculture) or foreign firms operating in China.

Canada

25% Tariff Threat & "51st State" Rhetoric: Leveraging tariffs to reshape trade or even political arrangements (e.g., a union) is controversial and could spur reciprocal actions by Ottawa.

Crucial Sectors: Canada's role in autos, aerospace, and resource extraction means cross-border supply chains stand to suffer acute disruptions if punitive measures intensify.

Mexico

USMCA in Jeopardy: A 25–100% tariff range on Mexican goods challenges the USMCA's core principles, undermining nearshoring strategies aimed at replacing China.

Selective Targeting: A 200% tariff for specific companies moving production suggests unpredictability and raises alarm among other manufacturers considering Mexico.

Denmark (Greenland)

Tariff Threat for Territorial Gain: Using potential tariffs to pressure Denmark regarding Greenland reveals how "trade war" tactics might extend to securing strategic lands or resources (Arctic shipping lanes, minerals).

EU and NATO Ties: Any move against Denmark could strain transatlantic alliances and provoke retaliatory measures from the broader European Union.

BRICS Nations

100% Tariff If a Currency Alternative Emerges: Commodities and manufactured products from Brazil, Russia, India, China, and South Africa would become significantly more expensive in the U.S., prompting potential global realignments.

Commodity Dependencies: The U.S. relies on certain BRICS-origin minerals, energy resources, and agricultural goods—posing a vulnerability if the BRICS bloc retaliates or innovates around tariff barriers.

Spotlight: Rare Earth Elements (REEs)

REE Dependencies in Defense and High-Tech

- **Critical Supply Chain Link:** REEs are essential for advanced military systems, consumer electronics, and renewable technologies. China supplies 80%+ of refined REEs globally.
- **Dual Threat:** Extreme tariffs combined with blacklisting of Chinese defense-linked firms could severely limit U.S. access to REEs, impacting sectors from aerospace to smartphones.

Potential U.S. Responses

- **Domestic Mining & Processing:** Accelerated permits, tax incentives, and alliances with Australia or Canada (assuming those supply chains are not disrupted by retaliatory measures).
- **Recycling & Substitution:** Ongoing R&D to recycle REEs or find functional substitutes that reduce dependency.

Potential Retaliation: Focus on China and Mexico

Despite the U.S. imposing or threatening high tariffs, **countermeasures** by trade partners could be equally impactful. Two prime candidates are China and Mexico, each of which can leverage **specific exports** where the U.S. is dependent:

China's Potential Export Restrictions or Tariffs

Multiple strategic materials—where **China controls 50% or more** of U.S. supply—could be weaponized in a retaliatory scenario. Examples include:

HS Code	Description	Simple Name	Application
281810	Aluminium oxide (artificial corundum)	Aluminium oxide	Finishes (coatings, abrasives)
284920	Silicon carbide	Silicon carbide	Electronics (semiconductors, high-temperature materials)
811100	Manganese (articles, incl. waste & scrap)	Manganese	Steel alloys, EV batteries
284690	Rare earth compounds (excluding cerium)	Rare earths	Electromagnets, high-tech motors
810390	Tantalum articles n.e.c. (e.g., powders, plates)	Tantalum	Capacitors (electronics, aerospace)

- **Strategic Implications:**
- *Aluminium Oxide (281810) and Silicon Carbide (284920)* are used in high-performance materials and electronics. If China slows or halts exports, U.S. aerospace/tech manufacturers face immediate bottlenecks.
- *Manganese (811100)* is vital for steel hardening and battery production; restricting it could disrupt EV and defense manufacturing.
- *Rare Earths (284690)* remain a well-known pressure point—crucial for magnets in defense systems and electronics.
- *Tantalum (810390)* is essential in high-reliability capacitors for military and medical devices. Any curtailment could cripple advanced electronics production.

Mexico's Potential Tariff or Export Restrictions

Mexico also holds critical supply chain leverage, especially in automotive and industrial components:

HS Code	Description	Common Application
732010	Iron or steel leaf-springs and leaves (for vehicles)	Automotive suspension systems
400932	Vulcanized rubber tubing/hoses (reinforced with textile materials, with fittings)	Automotive fluid transfer, industrial piping
830120	Locks of a kind used for motor vehicles	Vehicle security systems

- **Strategic Implications:**
  - *Iron or Steel Leaf-Springs (732010):* Core automotive parts. If Mexico restricts or heavily tariffs exports, U.S. truck and commercial vehicle production could be disrupted.
  - *Rubber Hoses (400932):* Critical for fluid management in factories and vehicles; any shortage reverberates through multiple U.S. industries.
  - *Vehicle Locks (830120):* A niche product but integral to automotive security systems; even a small disruption can halt assembly lines awaiting these critical parts.

Overall Impact:

- Restricting exports of these inputs—whether by direct ban, quotas, or counter-tariffs—would cause **production slowdowns, cost surges, and potential layoffs** in U.S. industries dependent on just-in-time deliveries.
- Given the U.S.'s heavy reliance on these materials/components, **retaliation could partially nullify or outweigh** the intended economic pressure of U.S. tariffs, creating a lose-lose scenario.

Conclusion and Recommendations

1. **Prepare for Unconventional "Weaponized" Tariffs and Retaliation**
   - Tariffs are clearly being used for broader geopolitical ends (Greenland, BRICS currency), meaning countermeasures may also go beyond standard trade responses.
   - Monitor potential export bans or additional tariffs on critical inputs from China and Mexico.

2. **Map Critical Supply Chain Vulnerabilities**
   - Identify where the U.S. manufacturing base is highly reliant on specific Chinese or Mexican exports (e.g., REEs, automotive components).
   - Develop contingency inventories or alternative sourcing, especially for niche inputs like tantalum or automotive-grade locks.

3. **Enhance Diplomatic and Advocacy Efforts**
   - Engage policymakers, industry groups, and foreign counterparts to mitigate escalation.
   - Advocate for rules-based negotiations, potentially using industry coalitions to highlight the counterproductive nature of extreme tariffs.

3. **Reinforce Multi-Regional Production Strategies**
   - Expand beyond "China-plus-one" to a broader network (Southeast Asia, Eastern Europe, and domestic U.S.) to reduce single-point dependency.
   - For North American supply chains, examine dual-sourcing in case USMCA tensions deepen.

5. **Invest in Domestic and Allied "Buffers"**
   - Stimulate domestic REE mining/processing, battery materials, and specialized automotive components.
   - Encourage R&D in recycling and substitution, reducing long-term vulnerability to retaliatory export bans.

FINAL OUTLOOK

A renewed Trump-era trade war, deploying **tariffs as multipurpose geopolitical instruments**, has the potential to deeply disrupt global supply chains. If **China and Mexico retaliate** by restricting exports of **critical inputs** (e.g., aluminum oxide, rare earths, manganese, vehicle locks, steel leaf-springs), U.S. manufacturers could face severe shortages, soaring costs, and production delays. Coupled with expanding **defense blacklists** and unorthodox pressure tactics (e.g., Greenland, "51st-state" overtures), the risks to international commerce are both economic and political. Proactive **risk assessments, multi-regional diversification, and diplomatic engagement** are vital for stakeholders seeking to insulate themselves from such unprecedented levels of trade conflict.